



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

CRITICAL NOTE

A NEW GLIMPSE OF GREEK TENSE-MOVEMENTS IN NEW TESTAMENT TIMES

The limit of function between the aorist and perfect in Greek has been with New Testament, as with other, grammarians a matter of no little interest. Especially for New Testament times there has seemed to be evidence of a tendency on the part of these tenses, if not toward amalgamation, at least toward a partial interchange of function. Thus an occasional aoristic perfect is recognized; while many aorists, translated by English present perfects, seem to have taken on a sense of completion quite foreign to the genius of the tense. Latin influence may have borne a part in this incipient breaking down of barriers between the two tenses. At all events, such a tendency in Hellenistic Greek must be acknowledged.

Recent publications of Greek papyri and ostraca have supplied new materials of an important sort for the study of those deeper and more elusive linguistic movements that work in the everyday speech of the common people. Thus Professor Wilcken, in his *Griechische Ostraka*, has printed the texts of over sixteen hundred of the inscribed potsherds on which the commonest receipts and orders of Ptolemaic and Roman Egypt were written. These texts, brief as they are, possess the peculiar advantage of being usually dated, and assignable, on internal or other grounds, to a particular locality.

In examining one class of Professor Wilcken's texts, one is struck by the change in the later years of the first century, from perfect to aorist. Many of the ostraca are tax receipts, for poll tax, river-police tax, etc. The verb for paying or discharging the tax is *διαγράφειν*. In the Ptolemaic period and under the early emperors it appears in the perfect. More particularly, in the Ptolemaic time the second perfect *διαγέγραφεν* is used; and under the early emperors the first perfect, *διαγεγράφηκεν*. The form is generally that of the following ostracon, a document of April 22, 18 A. D.:

Διαγεγράφ(ηκεν)
Ζητήθιος Παχνούβιος
ὑπ(èρ) λαογραφ(ίας) τοῦ πέμπτου (ἔτους)
Τιβερίου Καισαρος Εεβαστοῦ
Φαρμάθι κζ ἀργυ(ρίου) δραχ(μὰς) ὁκτώ/Ση

(Second hand) **Απολ(λώνιος) ἐπηκολ(ούθηκα).*¹

¹ Wilcker, *Griechische Ostraka*, Vol. II, No. 3.

Receipts of this type, with the first perfect of *διαγράφειν*, are found dated as early as 22 B. C. While the aorist appears in one ostracon as early as 40 A. D., the perfect prevails in these documents to the almost complete exclusion of the aorist well into the seventh decade of the first century. In that decade the aorist appears with greater and greater frequency, and in the course of a dozen years almost completely supersedes the perfect, thereafter, so far as my observation goes, maintaining the ground gained. The last appearance of the perfect in these documents is in an ostracon of 96 A. D., so that, as far as the evidence goes, in less than sixty years the displacement was complete. This singular movement may be traced in the receipts from Elephantine, and independently in those from the neighborhood of Thebes, the decade of transition being in both the same. Yet side by side with this newly accepted aorist stand such perfects as *μεμέτρημαι* and *μεμέτρηκεν*, over which, it will be noted, the corresponding aorist forms would have had no advantage in brevity. Ease in writing the shorter word, indeed, was probably without influence in the change, for the word, whether aorist or perfect, is usually abbreviated to its first four or five letters. The aorist's advantage in ease of utterance, however, may have helped its introduction into these documents. And it is always possible that the caprice of some new official, perhaps directly or indirectly under Latin influence, may have been in part responsible for the new fashion.

Whatever the causes that operated to produce this sudden change—among which Latin influence may have been one, and convenience of expression another—we may recognize in it an illustration of the rapid developments of tense-function to which Hellenistic Greek was liable, and of which the literary language was bound sooner or later to show the influence. For New Testament syntax this glimpse of first-century tense-movements in the common speech is particularly suggestive, not only in view of the coincidence in time, but especially because New Testament Greek lay nearer to the vernacular than to the literary language—a fact of which every day is bringing fresh evidence.

EDGAR J. GOODSPEED.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.